

Hart Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)
Consultation on a Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule
5th November 2021 to 17th December 2021



Response Form

Hart District Council is preparing a Community Infrastructure Levy. Comments are invited on a Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and the supporting evidence. All the consultation documents are available at <https://www.hart.gov.uk/community-infrastructure-levy>

Please return comments by email to planningpolicy@hart.gov.uk or by post to Hart District Council, Civic Offices, Harlington Way, Fleet, GU51 4AE

Deadline: 5pm on Friday 17th December 2021

Part A – Personal Details

Please provide your details as anonymous comments cannot be accepted. By responding to this consultation you are providing consent for your personal data to be collected by Hart District Council in line with terms set out in the [CIL Privacy Notice](#). Please also read the [Confidentiality Statement](#). Consultation responses will be published, but only the name, and where relevant, the organisation name, will be published with the comments. No personal contact details will be published.

	Personal details (if applicable)*	Agent's Details (if applicable)*
First Name		
Last Name		
Organisation (where relevant)	Hook Parish Council	
Address	████████████████████ ██████████ ██████	
Postcode	████████	
Email	████████████████████	

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Name and Organisation boxes to the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

Part B – Representations

The Council is consulting on:

- Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule (setting out the proposed CIL rates)

You may also comment on the supporting evidence base:

- CIL Viability Assessment September 2021
- Hart Infrastructure Delivery Plan Update October 2021
- Infrastructure Funding Gap Assessment October 2021

Your Comments

Hook Parish Council
Comments on the CIL Consultation document Nov 2021

General

Overall, the consultation document is reasonably well presented and evidenced but there are some areas where the Parish Council has concern or wishes to provide specific comments as described below.

Specific Comments

Paras 22 and 23: The imposition of CIL on Permitted Developments is welcomed as currently there is no requirement to mitigate impacts.

Para 37: This must be amended to say that payment of 70% of the levy may be made by transferring land or to accept infrastructure in kind as only that amount is within the gift of the District Council. The remaining 25%, which is the Neighbourhood Portion, must go to the Parish Council for them to spend as appropriate.

Para 47: Parish & Town Councils need to have clarification of the timescales and mechanism of the passing over of the Neighbourhood Portion of levy receipts.

Para 47: The document should make it clear that the Neighbourhood Portion derived from development in a particular Parish be ring-fenced for improvements in that Parish.

Paras 47, 48 and 49: In preparation for CIL the Parish Council needs to urgently start preparing an Infrastructure Requirements Plan (IRP) for Hook Parish so the Parish Council is ready to spend any CIL funds in the most cost effective manner and within the time limits that will apply. It is also noted that HCC are undertaking a Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP) for Hart District so the Parish Council needs to carefully monitor progress of this document.

Para 53: The separation of the provision of infrastructure by use of CIL from simply mitigating specific impacts of a particular development is welcomed and this should be fully reflected in paras 47,48 and 49.

Annex 1: This mentions a list of projects or types of infrastructure being prepared by HDC that it intends to fund through CIL but there is concern as to what such a list will contain. HDC have produced an updated Infrastructure Plan (IDP) dated October 2021, which forms part of the consultation process, but this IDP is not fit for purpose as illustrated below.

Items referenced OLC12 and OLC 48 relate to North Warnborough and Edenbrook respectively so have no relevance to Hook.

Items ED7, ED8, GIS 16, TR24 and TR25 all relate to S106 'secured' as part of High Ridge Farm Development but there is no extant permission for this site (permission for application 13/02567/MAJOR by Taylor Wimpey was not progressed. This site has since been sold to Persimmon who have applied to change the proposed scheme but progress is only at Pre-Application).

Item TCW8 talks of provision of walking improvements from housing at NE Hook to Hartley Wintney village centre but the Barratt element of this new development cannot even access its most local centre, Hook Village Centre, by foot or by cycle using safe and convenient route as such appropriate infrastructure was not delivered as part of the development.

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary or append your response

Date: ___25/11/2021__